Appl. No. 10/037,346

Amdt. Dated January 24, 2006

Reply to Office action of October 26, 2005

Attorney Docket No. P14113-US2

EUS/J/P/06-3021

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS** 

**Claim Amendments** 

The Applicant has amended claims 4, 8, 10, 13, 16-17, 19 and 21; claims 11 and

20 have been canceled. Applicant respectfully submits no new matter has been added.

Accordingly, claims 1-10, 12-19 and 21 are pending in the application. Favorable

reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing

amendments and the following remarks.

**Examiner Objections – Specification** 

The disclosure was objected to because the BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE

DRAWINGS fails to include the description of Figure 8. The Applicant thanks the

Examiner for his careful review of the specification. In response, the Applicant has

modified the specification as suggested by the Examiner. The Examiner's consideration

of the amendments to the specification is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 4, 8, 13, 16 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second

paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

subject matter as the invention. The vague and indefinite phrase noted in claims 4 and

16 has been amended to remove the indefiniteness. Claims 8 and 13 have been

amended to correct the antecedent basis problem in each claim. The Applicants have

corrected the deficiencies in claim 17. The Applicant respectfully requests the

withdrawal of the rejection of these claims

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

Claims 10, 14 and 19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Barany et al, US 6,839,356 (Barany). In order to expedite allowance

of this application, the Applicant has canceled claims 11 and 20 without prejudice and

incorporated the allowable limitations of claim 11 and 20 into independent claims 10 and

19. Claim 14 depends from amended claim 10 which now contains the allowable

Page 10 of 12

Appl. No. 10/037,346 Amdt. Dated January 24, 2006 Reply to Office action of October 26, 2005 Attorney Docket No. P14113-US2

EUS/J/P/06-3021

subject matter of canceled claim 11. The Applicant respectfully requests the withdrawal

of the rejection of claims 10, 14 and 19.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Applicant gratefully acknowledges the allowance of claims 1-9 and 15-18

and notes with appreciation the conditional allowance of claims 11-12 and 20-21. As

the Examiner has suggested, claims 10 and 19 have been rewritten in independent form

including all limitation of the base claims and any intervening claims. Therefore no

amendments have been made to narrow the scope of the pending claims or to create

any sort of estoppel.

**Prior Art Not Relied Upon** 

In paragraph 9 on page 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner stated that the prior

art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the Applicant's

disclosure.

Page 11 of 12

Appl. No. 10/037,346 Amdt. Dated January 24, 2006 Reply to Office action of October 26, 2005 Attorney Docket No. P14113-US2 EUS/J/P/06-3021

## CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicant believes all of the claims currently pending in the Application to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

The Applicant requests a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions or requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of the Application.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Sidney L. Weatherford Registration No. 45,602

Ericsson Inc. 6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11 Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-8656 sidney.weatherford@ericsson.com